

CHRISTOPHER A. NEDEAU (CA SBN 81297)
CARL L. BLUMENSTEIN (CA SBN 124158)
ALLISON M. DIBLEY (CA SBN 213104)
NOSSAMAN LLP
50 California Street, 34th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
Tel: 415.398.3600
Fax: 415.398.2438
cnedeau@nossaman.com
cblumenstein@nossaman.com
adibley@nossaman.com

Attorneys for Defendants
AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION
and AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION AMERICA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST
LITIGATION

CASE NO. 3:09-CV-5609

MDL No. 3:07-MD-1827-SI

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: 3:09-CV-
5609

NOKIA CORPORATION and NOKIA INC.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
RE FILING *NUNC PRO TUNC* IN CASE
NO. 3:09-CV-5609 OF ANSWER TO
AMENDED COMPLAINT BY
DEFENDANTS AU OPTRONICS
CORPORATION AND AU OPTRONICS
CORPORATION AMERICA**

1 WHEREAS on November 25, 2009 plaintiffs Nokia Corporation and Nokia Inc. (collectively
2 “Nokia”) filed a complaint in the above-captioned case, case number 3:09-CV-5609 (the “Individual
3 Case”), against multiple defendants, including defendants AU Optronics Corporation and AU Optronics
4 Corporation America (collectively “AUO”);

5 WHEREAS the Individual Case is related to the multi-district proceedings consolidated in this
6 Court entitled *In re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel) Antitrust Litigation*, case number 3:07-MD-1827-SI (the
7 “MDL Case”);

8 WHEREAS on July 26, 2010 Nokia filed an amended complaint (“Amended Complaint”) after
9 the Court granted defendants’ motions to dismiss;

10 WHEREAS on August 27, 2010 AUO timely filed an answer to the Amended Complaint
11 (“Answer”) in the MDL Case but not in the Individual Case;

12 WHEREAS AUO intended to also file the Answer in the Individual Case pursuant to this Court’s
13 Pretrial Order #1 and Agenda for July 10, 2007 Status Conference filed July 3, 2007, which requires that
14 “[a]ll documents shall be e-filed in the master file, No. M 07-1827 SI. Documents that pertain to one or
15 only some of the pending actions shall *also* be e-filed in the individual case(s) to which the documents
16 pertain”;¹

17 WHEREAS Nokia and AUO agree that AUO should be permitted to file the Answer in the
18 Individual Case *nunc pro tunc* to August 27, 2010;

19 ///

20 ///

21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

¹ See MDL Docket No. 180 ¶ 7 (emphasis in original).

1 THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and among the undersigned
2 counsel, on behalf of their respective clients, that:

3 Pending and upon approval by the Court of this Stipulation, AUO's Answer, a copy of which is
4 attached as Exhibit A, shall be filed in case number 3:09-CV-5609 *nunc pro tunc* to August 27, 2010.

5 DATED: December 23, 2010

6
7 By: /s/ Christopher A. Nedeau
8 Christopher A. Nedeau (CA Bar No. 81297)
9 NOSSAMAN LLP
10 50 California Street, 34th Floor
11 San Francisco, California 94111-4799
12 (415) 398-3600 (Phone)
13 (415) 398-2438 (Facsimile)
14 cnedeau@nossaman.com


15 Attorneys for Defendants
16 AU Optronics Corporation and
17 AU Optronics Corporation America

18 By: /s/ Valarie C. Williams
19 Valarie C. Williams (admitted *pro hac vice*)
20 ALSTON + BIRD LLP
21 1201 West Peachtree Street
22 Atlanta, Georgia 30309
23 (404) 881-7000 (Phone)
24 (404) 881-7777 (Facsimile)
25 valarie.williams@alston.com

26 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
27 Nokia Corporation and Nokia Inc.

28 Pursuant to General Order 45, Part X-B, the filer attests that concurrence in the filing of this
document has been obtained from Valerie C. Williams.

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED

24 By: 
25 Judge of the U.S. District Court
26 Northern District of California

27 Date: _____
28